

RECEIVED

SEP 05 2001

550146

 Bonnie Fogdall  
09/05/2001 10:06 AM

To: SER@CRWMS  
cc:

Subject: Promote renewable resources that are safe and clean

QA:N/A Exclusionary

----- Forwarded by Bonnie Fogdall/YM/RWDOE on 09/05/2001 10:07 AM -----

 Naomi Lewis  
09/05/2001 07:51 AM

To: Bonnie Fogdall/YM/RWDOE@CRWMS  
cc:

Subject: Promote renewable resources that are safe and clean

QA:N/A Inclusionary

----- Forwarded by Naomi Lewis/YM/RWDOE on 09/05/2001 07:51 AM -----



John Warner <john\_jen\_world\_travel\_mail@yahoo.com> on 08/28/2001  
12:58:52 PM

To: YMP\_SR@ymp.gov  
cc: (bcc: YMP\_SR)

Subject: Promote renewable resources that are safe and clean

Federal Record Status Not Determined

---

I oppose the use of Yucca Mountain as a nuclear dumping site. It will never be safe enough or as practical as other renewable energy such as Wind and Solar Power. Why risk our health when there are alternatives that are cost efficient and good for our health. Can you claim that there is Zero risk with nuclear reactors or storage of their spent fuel? There is no risk with these viable current renewable technologies. Nuclear waste is not good for our health or for the health of our planet, for too many generations to count. Put the federal government's investment into renewable energy through tax incentives. Current energy producers will not invest enough resources into renewable energy on their own. It is a conflict of interest for the Nuclear, and Fossil fuel industry to invest in renewables on their own. They may do something minor for their public

image. The focus of the major energy companies is making money at the expense of our health, using current dirty energy sources.

550146

Sincerely,

John Warner  
223 East Mountain Dr.  
Santa Barbara, Ca. 93108

---

Do You Yahoo!?

Make international calls for as low as \$.04/minute with Yahoo! Messenger  
<http://phonecard.yahoo.com/>