



Ernest Goitein
167 Almondral Avenue
Atherton, CA 94027
650 369 6690

SEP 05 2001

550116

September 4, 2001

The Honorable Spencer Abraham
Secretary of Energy
U.S. Department of Energy
1000 Independence Ave. SW
Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Secretary Abraham:

I object to the lack of proper, reasonable notice and opportunity for hearing on the DOE's site recommendation of Yucca Mountain for a national high-level nuclear waste repository.

On August 21, 2001, with less than 30 days to read the DOE's more than 370 page long technical report, "Yucca Mountain Preliminary Site Suitability Evaluation," your agency announced it was holding public hearings on the site recommendation of Yucca Mountain in Nevada between September 5th and 13th.

Not only are these hearings provided with totally inadequate notice, but, for myself who will be affected by the requisite transportation of high-level nuclear waste through the San Francisco Bay Area via rail and road, your agency has provided no opportunity to comment on the suitability of the recommendation.

A few meetings in Nevada does not come close to providing an opportunity for public hearing on a project of this magnitude and immense potential danger to me and my family because of the transportation routes through Northern California.

In addition, it is plain that the notice you provided was defective not only for being absurdly short notice, but for providing notice to meet at a place, canceling that site, then providing another site with an incorrect address. Moreover, your agency provided that final notice of the meeting at the wrong address on August 31st, the beginning of the long Labor Day weekend, less than five days to the meeting including the intervening weekend and holiday.

Finally, it is plain from the notices your agency published in the Federal Register on August 21, 27 and 31, that the public is not clearly placed on notice that these are public hearings on your agency's decision to recommend Yucca Mountain as the site for a national high-level nuclear waste repository. Persons reading the notice are given the impression that these are merely hearings on the preliminary site suitability evaluation. Even then, your provision of less than thirty days notice from the availability of the 370 page evaluation and the few hearings in Nevada make it impossible for ordinary citizens, such as myself, to have any meaningful participation in such meetings.



550116

The notice was absurdly short even for myself or other citizens to arrange to attend. The location of the hearings is too far away for most persons who are interested due to being on the high-level nuclear waste transportation routes, too little time to acquire and assimilate the substance of the 370-plus page evaluation of the site, and completely defective due to the errors mentioned above.

There is no doubt that, no matter what provisions may be in the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, the United States Constitution's Fifth Amendment protections require that persons such as myself--and affected Nevadans and others on the transportation route of high level waste--must have at least reasonable notice and an opportunity to be heard on a decision such as this one, a decision with vast repercussions and grave potential dangers. Your agency's actions in this regard have not come even close to meeting the most minimal constitutional protections of my right and citizens' rights to due process in this instance. We are certain you have violated our rights.

We call on you to reschedule these hearings with adequate notice and a meaningful opportunity to be heard by all persons who will be affected by your agency's recommendation of Yucca Mountain as the national high-level waste repository. Hearings should also be held in locations throughout the country where citizens, such as myself, can be affected by potential transportation accidents.

Sincerely,

cc: Lake Barrett, Acting Director
Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management

Carol Hanlon
Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office